

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,284	12/16/2003	Daniel J. Ferris	X-1015 US 6560	
24309	7590 07/18/2005		EXAMINER	
XILINX, INC ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT		CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D		
2100 LOGIC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C	CA 95124		2816	

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	$\sim$
a	Χ
I,	V

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/737,284	FERRIS, DANIEL J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Terry D. Cunningham	2816	

	Terry D. Cunningham	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropringly set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	-			
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	ii be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <i>13.</i>						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-12 and 14-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Attachment.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paner N	lo(s)				
13. Other:	1.12.22 3.1.13 1.430/1 upor N	-\*/·				

Art Unit: 2816

## ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

## Continuation of No. 11

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Firstly, contrary to Applicant's remarks, it is clear that the current in resistor 11 will establish how the circuit operates, therefore, it is more than reasonable to consider such to be an "operating current". Applicant's remarks concerning Col. 6, lines 45-49, of the applied reference is clearly taken out of context. This merely discusses a possible scenario, but in no way provides a requirement for the circuit operation. Further, the lines following this citation go on to state that if this scenario were true, the current would only meet this condition during "steady-state". Thus, Applicant's remarks cannot be found to be persuasive. Secondly, elements Vref, 4A and 10 together comprise a well-known "stabilizer". The mere that such stabilizes node N4 necessitates that is stabilizes the operating voltage thereat. Applicant's statement that these elements do not provide a current is not understood and clearly incorrect. Since transistor 10 is turned on, such clearly has a current therethrough. Since the non-inverting input of 4A will draw virtually no current, it is necessarily true that all of this current will go to node N4. And lastly, Applicant's remarks in the paragraph linking lines 7-8 are not found persuasive for the reasons discussed above.

TC July 11, 2005 Terry D. Cunningha Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816